

CONSIDERATION	N O / L O W	M O D E R A T E	Y E S / H I G H
<i>I. General Project Attributes</i>			
The project goes significantly beyond that which might be reasonably expected of the applicant or others as part of normal operating procedures.			X
The project is not directly related to any activity that would normally be expected of the applicant or others.			X
<i>II. Water Quality Attributes</i>			
The project will reduce the generation of NPS pollution.	X		
The project can be expected to directly contribute to an improvement in water quality within <u>inland surface waters</u> .	X		
The project can be expected to directly contribute to an improvement in <u>ground water</u> .	X		
The project can be expected to directly contribute to an improvement in <u>coastal marine surface waters</u> .	X		
The project will improve water quality in a 303(d) listed water body.		X	
The project proposed clear methods by which to measure the expected improvement in water quality.	X		
The project is part of a comprehensive strategy of source reduction and pollutant treatment to improve water quality within the subject project areas.	X		
<i>III. Beneficial Use (B.U.) Attributes</i>			
<i>(a) General B.U. Attributes</i>			
The project can be expected to directly contribute to a significant enhancement and/or restoration of the following beneficial uses: REC-1/REC-2		X	
MUN	X		
COLD/WARM/WILD	X		
MAR/EST	X		
The project will preserve critical wetland/riparian/estuarine/marine habitat through land acquisition.	X		
The project will create or restore wetland/riparian/estuarine/marine habitat through the removal of fill.	X		
The project proposes clear measures by which to measure the success in enhancing/restoring beneficial uses.	X		
The project is part of an adopted enhancement plan.	X		
The project is part of an adopted watershed management plan.	X		

CONSIDERATION	N O / L O W	M O D E R A T E	Y E S / H I G H
<i>(b) Invasive Species Attributes</i>			
The project will effectively remove invasive, non-native biota from the project area.	X		
The project will effectively protect against the re-infestation of invasive, non-native biota within the project area.	X		
The project will utilize citizen monitors for a significant portion of the eradication/prevention/enhancement effort.	X		
Because of the characteristics of the invasive species and the location(s) of the project site, it is critical for the eradication/prevention effort to be extremely prompt and effective in order: “to protect the project site from infestation./,”	X		
“and to protect the watershed from infestation./,”	X		
“and to protect the San Diego Region from infestation./,”	X		
“and to protect California from infestation./,”	X		
“and to protect the Pacific Coast from infestation.”	X		
<i>IV. Monthly Program Attributes</i>			
<i>(a) Water Column Monitoring</i>			
The proposal will provide very useful information on ambient water quality conditions to the RWQCB.		X	
The proposal will provide information on the most-likely source(s) of any monitored contamination.		X	
The ambient water quality measured by this proposal can be expected to directly assist the RWQCB in the development, implementation and/or monitoring of a TMDL.		X	
The proposal will enlist citizen monitors to aid in the collection and processing of the water column monitoring data.			X
<i>(b) Biological Monitoring</i>			
The proposal will provide very useful information on the ambient condition of biota within the project area to the RWQCB.	X		
The proposal will provide information on the most-likely source(s) of any monitored reduction in quantity and/or quality of the biota.	X		
The ambient condition of the biota that is monitored by this proposal can be expected to directly assist the RWQCB in the development, implementation, and/or monitoring of a TMDL.	X		
The proposal will enlist citizen monitors to aid in the collection and processing of the biological monitoring data.			X

CONSIDERATION	N O / L O W	M O D E R A T E	Y E S / H I G H
<i>(c) Sediment Chemistry Monitoring</i>			
The proposal will provide very useful information on ambient sediment quality within the project area to the RWQCB.	X		
The proposal will provide information on the most-likely source(s) of any monitored sediment contamination.	X		
The ambient sediment quality measured by this proposal can be expected to directly assist the RWQCB in the development, implementation, and/or monitoring of a TMDL.	X		
The proposal will enlist citizen monitors to aid in the collection and processing of the sediment monitoring data.	X		
<i>V. Public Education and/or Outreach Attributes</i>			
The project will implement a public education and outreach program that will significantly reduce pollution through a reduction in the generation of waste(s)/pollutant(s) (chemical, physical, and/or biological).		X	
The project will implement a public education and outreach program that will significantly reduce pollution through a reduction in the discharge of waste(s)/pollutant(s) (chemical, physical, and/or biological) that have been generated [through discharge prevention and/or discharge interception and treatment].		X	
The project will implement a public education and outreach program that will significantly reduce pollution through the active surveillance and correction of previously discharged waste(s)/pollutant(s) (chemical, physical, and/or biological) through receiving water cleanup and waste retrieval efforts.	X		
The public education and outreach proposed by this project is significantly greater than any that might be reasonably expected by an NPDES municipal storm water permittee.	X		
<i>VI. Clarity of Project</i>			
The proposal has a clear problem statement.			X
The proposal has a clear, detailed work plan of tasks.			X
The proposal has a clear start date and time line for all tasks.			X
The proposal has a clear budget for all tasks.			X

CONSIDERATION	N O / L O W	M O D E R A T E	Y E S / H I G H
<i>VII. Project Trustee/Applicant Attributes</i>			
The project trustee has experience in completing tasks equivalent to those being proposed.			X
The project trustee has the capability or commitments to ensure that the project will be complete.			X
The project trustee has the ability/authority to receive and disburse funds.			X
The project trustee provides a clear understanding, capability, and commitment to comply with all necessary environmental permitting issues.			X
The project trustee has a demonstrated commitment to continue the water quality/restoration effort into the future, beyond the elements which are sought for SEP funding.			X
The project has documented support from environmental and/or public agency and interest groups.			X
<i>VIII. Funding Attributes</i>			
The requested amount of SEP funds is a cost-effective means of attaining the project goals.			X
The requested amount of SEP funds will be used as leverage to obtain a substantial amount of additional funding, that would otherwise not be available.		X	
The project can be expected to provide a nucleus for additional funding and activities in the future.			X
Without SEP funding, the project would not likely be initiated within at least three or more years.	X		
Subtotal Scores*		8	16
(*Low/No=0; Moderate=1; High/Yes=2)		8	32
Total Score =			40